

Kevin R. McLean, CSB 127209  
Law Offices of Belli & McLean  
473 Jackson Street, Second Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: (415) 981-0100  
Facsimile: (415) 981-2050

Plaintiff in Pro Per  
Kevin R. McLean

In The United States District Court  
In and For the Northern Court of California  
[San Francisco]

KEVIN R. McLEAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

WORLD SAVINGS FSB; INTEGRITY  
INVESTMENT GROUP, LLC;  
GOLDEN WEST SAVINGS  
ASSOCIATION SERVICE CO; SUSAN  
FEDERIGHI; BILL FORD; JEFFERY  
FORD; CALIFORNIA FRANCHISE  
TAX BOARD; WILLIAM L. VEEN,

Defendants.

Case No.: C 07-5594 JSW

RESPONSE TO ORDER TO SHOW  
CAUSE

Plaintiff, KEVIN R. McLEAN, ("McLean") hereby responds to Court's  
Order to Show Cause as follows:

**DECLARATION OF KEVIN R. McLEAN**

1. I am the Plaintiff in the above captioned case and make the following  
declaration from my own personal knowledge. I can competently testify about  
the following under oath if called upon to do so.

///

- 1 2. I am currently a sole practitioner that, due to illness and resulting economic  
2 problems, have no staff to assist me. I am basically an in Pro Per Plaintiff  
3 trying to save the home I have lived in for over 17 years.
- 4 3. During the last part of December 2007 continuing through January 2008, I have  
5 been ill, and have had my Internet phone service cut off since the end of  
6 December through today's date (2/8/08). I was unaware of this Court's  
7 scheduling Order and the current OSC until February 7, 2008, when my sister  
8 found these items as she remotely checked my email.
- 9 4. I respectfully request that this Court find as a result of illness and financial  
10 difficulties, that good cause exists to allow me to file a substantive opposition to  
11 the Bank Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. I would ask this Court to allow me to  
12 file my opposition by February 21, 2008 with Defendants' reply due after that.
- 13 5. I believe further good cause exists to allow this case to go forward against the  
14 Bank Defendants due to the case of In re Acosta, a case from United States  
15 Bankruptcy Court in Arizona, 181 B.R. 477. The Federal Court held that under  
16 facts completely on point with my case that the Bank Defendants had a duty to  
17 provide me with **Actual Notice** of the rescheduled Trustee date after dismissal  
18 of my Bankruptcy Petition.
- 19 6. The fact that no notice was given to me or the lien holders on my property is  
20 further evidence that due process rights under the United States Constitution  
21 and the California State Constitution were violated by the acts of the Bank  
22 Defendants.  
23  
24

25 Signed under Penalty of Perjury on February 8, 2008, in Woodside, CA.

26  
27 /s/ Kevin R. McLean  
28 KEVIN R. McLEAN